

Central Charges in Extreme Black Hole/CFT Correspondence

Geoffrey Compère

*Department of Physics, University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA
93106, USA
E-mail: gcompere@physics.ucsb.edu*

Keiju Murata

*Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
Email: murata@tap.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp*

Tatsuma Nishioka

*Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
Email: nishioka@gauge.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp*

ABSTRACT: The Kerr/CFT correspondence has been recently broadened to the general extremal black holes under the assumption that the central charges from the non-gravitational fields vanish. To confirm this proposal, we derive the expression of the conserved charges in the Einstein-Maxwell-scalar theory with topological terms in four and five dimensions and check that the above assumption was correct. Combining the computed central charge with the expected form of the temperature, the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the general extremal black holes in four and five dimensions can be reproduced by using the Cardy formula.

KEYWORDS: Black holes, Conservation laws, Conformal field theory, AdS/CFT.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Near Horizon Geometry of Extreme Black Holes and Virasoro Algebra	2
2.1 Near horizon geometry of extreme black holes	2
2.2 Virasoro algebra in four dimensions	3
2.3 Virasoro algebra in five dimensions	4
3. Formalism for Conserved Charges	4
4. Charges for the General Lagrangian in Four dimensions	8
4.1 General action and equations of motion	8
4.2 Current for the diffeomorphism	9
4.3 Current for the $U(1)^n$ -gauge transformation	9
4.4 Conserved charges	10
5. Central Charges for Four-dimensional Extreme Black Holes	11
6. Extreme Black Holes in Five dimensions	12
6.1 Conserved charges	13
6.2 Central charge	14
7. Conclusion	15

1. Introduction

Recently, a new duality called the Kerr/CFT correspondence was proposed in [1]. It was shown that the black hole entropy of the four-dimensional extremal Kerr black hole with angular momentum J can be reproduced by the statistical entropy of a dual two-dimensional CFT with the central charge $c = 12J$, which is evaluated following the approach originally taken by Brown-Henneaux for AdS_3 [2]. Such a duality has been generalized to other black holes including higher dimensions [3–15]¹. In these works, the central charge is usually computed only from the gravitational field while contributions from other fields like vector and scalar fields are neglected. Nevertheless, the correct Bekenstein-Hawking entropy can be reproduced from the Cardy formula. Thus, we can conjecture that the central charge of extremal black holes comes from only gravitational part and the contribution from other

¹Note that the appearance of one copy of a Virasoro algebra in the near horizon region of a generic non-extreme black hole whose central charge is proportional to the horizon area was noted earlier in [16, 17].

fields vanishes. This conjecture was proven for the Kerr-Newman-AdS-dS black hole in the Einstein-Maxwell theory with cosmological constant [5]. In this paper, following the cohomological methods [18–20], we derive the expression of the conserved charges for the fairly general action,

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - \frac{1}{2} f_{AB}(\chi) \partial_\mu \chi^A \partial^\mu \chi^B - V(\chi) - \frac{1}{4} k_{IJ}(\chi) F_{\mu\nu}^I F^{J\mu\nu} \right) + S_{top} , \quad (1.1)$$

where $D = 4$ or 5 . The “topological” term S_{top} is given by

$$S_{top} = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \frac{1}{4} h_{IJ}(\chi) \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}^I F_{\rho\sigma}^J \quad (D = 4) , \quad (1.2)$$

$$S_{top} = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d^5 x \sqrt{-g} \frac{1}{2} C_{IJK} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\rho\sigma} A_\alpha^I F_{\beta\gamma}^J F_{\rho\sigma}^K \quad (D = 5) . \quad (1.3)$$

Then, we evaluate the central charge for general extremal black holes in these theories and prove the above conjecture. Combining the result and the expected form of the temperature, we reproduce the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the general extremal black holes by the Cardy formula in four and five dimensions respectively. This result supports the extremal black hole/CFT correspondence suggested in [5].

The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we consider the near horizon solution of the extremal black hole in the theory (1.1). We recapitulate the boundary conditions for the fluctuations of the near horizon geometry and the Virasoro asymptotic symmetry algebra. In section 3, we review the formalism to obtain the conserved charges for extremal black holes following [18–23]. In section 4, we obtain the explicit expression of the conserved charges of the four-dimensional Lagrangian (1.1). In section 5, we calculate the central charge of the Virasoro algebra and find that there is no contribution from the non-gravitational part. Using this fact, we show that the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy can be reproduced by the Cardy formula. In section 6, we repeat the calculation in the five-dimensional theory (1.1). We find that there is no contribution from the non-gravitational part and that the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy can be reproduced by the Cardy formula again. We conclude in section 7.

2. Near Horizon Geometry of Extreme Black Holes and Virasoro Algebra

2.1 Near horizon geometry of extreme black holes

We focus on the case that $f_{AB}(\chi)$ and $k_{IJ}(\chi)$ are positive definite and the scalar potential $V(\chi)$ is non-positive in (1.1). It was shown in [24–26] that if we assume $D - 3$ rotational symmetries with a fixed point in the asymptotic region and that the horizon topology is not T^{D-2} , then the near horizon solution of a stationary, extremal black hole solution in the general action (1.1) is given by

$$ds^2 = \Gamma(\theta) \left[-r^2 dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2} + \alpha(\theta) d\theta^2 \right] + \sum_{i,j=1}^{\ell} \gamma_{ij}(\theta) (d\phi^i + k^i r dt) (d\phi^j + k^j r dt) , \quad (2.1)$$

$$\chi^A = \chi^A(\theta), \quad A^I = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} f_i^I(\theta) (d\phi^i + k^i r dt) .$$

where $\ell = 1, 2$ for $D = 4, 5$, respectively. Notice that the above metric for $D = 5$ can be obtained from the extremal black holes with a topologically $S^1 \times S^2$ horizon and with a topologically S^3 horizon [24]. The near horizon geometry has the enhanced isometry $SL(2, R) \times U(1)^\ell$, as was observed earlier using the attractor mechanism [27, 28], and the scalar and vector fields are also invariant under this symmetry. The horizon of the extremal black hole was located at $r = 0$. Thus, the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy is given by

$$S_{grav} = \frac{(2\pi)^\ell}{4} \int_0^\pi d\theta \sqrt{\Gamma(\theta)\alpha(\theta)\gamma(\theta)} , \quad (2.2)$$

where we denote $\gamma(\theta) \equiv \det(\gamma_{ij}(\theta))$. We will consider the dual CFT description of (2.1) and reproduce the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy (2.2).

2.2 Virasoro algebra in four dimensions

Now, we consider fluctuations of the near horizon geometry of the extremal black hole (2.1). We should specify the boundary conditions for the fluctuations at $r = \infty$. We adopt the boundary conditions given in [1, 5], which are determined in order to obtain the Virasoro algebra as the asymptotic symmetry group. In four dimensions, the boundary conditions are given by

$$h_{\mu\nu} \sim \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} r^2 & 1/r^2 & 1/r & 1 \\ & 1/r^3 & 1/r^2 & 1/r \\ & & 1/r & 1/r \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} , \quad a_\mu^I \sim \mathcal{O}(r, 1/r^2, 1, 1/r) , \quad (2.3)$$

in the basis (t, r, θ, ϕ) , where $h_{\mu\nu} \equiv \delta g_{\mu\nu}$ and $a_\mu^I \equiv \delta A_\mu^{I2}$. Moreover, an additional non-linear boundary condition is imposed to forbid excitations above extremality. The diffeomorphisms and $U(1)^n$ -gauge transformations which preserve the boundary conditions (2.3) are³

$$\begin{aligned} \xi[\epsilon] &= \epsilon(\phi)\partial_\phi - r\epsilon'(\phi)\partial_r , \\ \Lambda^I[\epsilon] &= -f^I(\theta)\epsilon(\phi) , \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

together with $\xi = \partial_t$ and $\Lambda^I = \Lambda^I(t, \theta)$, which commute with (2.4) and (2.8). However, as we will show in section 5, the latter asymptotic symmetries do not lead to central extensions. Therefore, we will only focus on the Virasoro algebra of the extremal black hole. We take the basis of $\epsilon(\phi)$ as $\epsilon_n(\phi) = -e^{-in\phi}$ and define $\xi_m = \xi[\epsilon_m]$, $\Lambda_m^I = \Lambda^I[\epsilon_m]$. Then, the combined generator $\ell_m \equiv (\xi_m, \Lambda_m)$ satisfies the Virasoro algebra with zero central charge as

$$i[\ell_m, \ell_n] = (m - n)\ell_{m+n} . \quad (2.5)$$

²Since the background scalar fields (2.1) are invariant under the Virasoro generators (2.4), one can impose the boundary condition $\delta\chi = 0$. This boundary condition could be relaxed but such an analysis is not needed for our purposes here.

³The boundary conditions for gauge fields are such that only the combined variation $\delta \equiv \delta_\xi + \delta_\Lambda$ with $\Lambda(\theta, \phi)$ in (2.4) are asymptotic symmetries.

2.3 Virasoro algebra in five dimensions

In five dimensions, there are two boundary conditions to obtain the Virasoro algebra [3, 4]. One of them is⁴

$$h_{\mu\nu} \sim \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} r^2 & 1/r^2 & 1/r & 1 & r \\ & 1/r^3 & 1/r^2 & 1/r & 1/r^2 \\ & & 1/r & 1/r & 1/r \\ & & & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & 1/r \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_\mu^I \sim \mathcal{O}(r, 1/r^2, 1, 1/r, 1/r), \quad (2.6)$$

in the basis $(t, r, \theta, \phi_1, \phi_2)$. Another boundary condition is

$$h_{\mu\nu} \sim \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} r^2 & 1/r^2 & 1/r & r & 1 \\ & 1/r^3 & 1/r^2 & 1/r^2 & 1/r \\ & & 1/r & 1/r & 1/r \\ & & & 1/r & 1 \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_\mu^I \sim \mathcal{O}(r, 1/r^2, 1, 1/r, 1/r). \quad (2.7)$$

Then, the asymptotic symmetries are

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{(i)}[\epsilon] &\equiv \epsilon(\phi^i) \partial_\phi - r \epsilon'(\phi^i) \partial_r, \\ \Lambda_{(i)}^I[\epsilon] &\equiv -f_i^I(\theta) \epsilon(\phi^i), \end{aligned} \quad (i = 1, 2), \quad (2.8)$$

where $i = 1$ and $i = 2$ are for (2.6) and (2.7) respectively. The boundary conditions are not compatible with each other in the sense that there are no consistent boundary conditions admitting both set of asymptotic fields or both sets of the Virasoro algebras. We take the basis of $\epsilon(\phi^i)$ as $\epsilon_n(\phi^i) = -e^{-in\phi^i}$ and define $\xi_{(i)m} = \xi_{(i)}[\epsilon_m]$, $\Lambda_{(i)m}^I = \Lambda_{(i)}^I[\epsilon_m]$. Then, the generator $\ell_{(i)m} \equiv (\xi_{(i)m}, \Lambda_{(i)m}^I)$ satisfies the Virasoro algebra with zero central charge as

$$i[\ell_{(i)m}, \ell_{(i)n}] = (m - n) \ell_{(i)m+n}. \quad (2.9)$$

In the following sections, we will calculate the central term of the Virasoro algebra in (2.5) and (2.9).

3. Formalism for Conserved Charges

We need to construct the surface charges which generate the asymptotic symmetries (2.4) and (2.8) to evaluate the central term of the Virasoro algebra in (2.5) and (2.9). In this section, we review the formalism to obtain the conserved charges for gauge theories following [18–23].

We take the variation of the D -form Lagrangian as

$$\delta \mathbf{L}(\Phi) = \mathbf{E}(\Phi) \delta \Phi + d\mathbf{\Theta}(\delta \Phi, \Phi), \quad (3.1)$$

⁴Our previous comments in the 4d case on the boundary conditions for the scalar field, on the additional extremality constraint and on the supplementary asymptotic symmetries are also applicable here.

where the Φ is the generic name of all fields $\Phi = (g_{\mu\nu}, A_\mu^I, \chi^A)$. Then, the equations of motion are given by $\mathbf{E}(\Phi) = 0$. The term $\Theta(\Phi, \delta\Phi)$ appears as a total divergence and does not affect the equations of motion. Let $\delta_\epsilon\Phi$ denotes a general gauge transformation. We suppose that the Lagrangian is gauge invariant up to a boundary term

$$\delta_\epsilon \mathbf{L}(\Phi) = d\mathbf{M}_\epsilon(\Phi) . \quad (3.2)$$

In the action (1.1), the gauge symmetries are the diffeomorphism and $U(1)^n$ gauge transformations, $\delta_\epsilon g_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{L}_\xi g_{\mu\nu}$, $\delta_\epsilon A_\mu^I = \mathcal{L}_\xi A_\mu^I + \nabla_\mu \Lambda^I$ and $\delta_\epsilon \chi^A = \mathcal{L}_\xi \chi^A$. For these gauge transformations, the boundary term $\mathbf{M}_{(\xi, \Lambda)}(\Phi)$ is given by

$$\mathbf{M}_{(\xi, \Lambda)}(\Phi) = \xi \cdot \mathbf{L}(\Phi) + \Lambda d\mathbf{C}_{D-2}(A) , \quad (3.3)$$

where the last contribution appears when the Lagrangian contains a Chern-Simons term of the form $\mathbf{C}_D(A) \sim A \wedge F \wedge \cdots \wedge F$.

The quantity $\mathbf{E}(\Phi)\delta_\epsilon\Phi$ can be integrated by parts in order to remove the derivatives acting on ϵ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(\Phi)\delta_\epsilon\Phi &= \epsilon \mathbf{N}(E(\Phi), \Phi) + d\mathbf{S}_\epsilon(E(\Phi), \Phi) , \\ &= d\mathbf{S}_\epsilon(E(\Phi), \Phi) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

In the second equality, the Noether identities $\mathbf{N}(E(\Phi), \Phi) \equiv 0$ were used. The Noether current $\mathbf{S}_\epsilon(E(\Phi), \Phi)$ associated with the gauge transformation ϵ is vanishing on-shell. We regard the δ in (3.1) as the gauge transformation δ_ϵ and, then, combining equations (3.1) and (3.2), we can express also

$$\mathbf{E}(\Phi)\delta_\epsilon\Phi = -d\mathbf{J}_\epsilon(\Phi) , \quad (3.5)$$

where we defined the standard covariant phase space Noether current as

$$\mathbf{J}_\epsilon(\Phi) = \Theta(\delta_\epsilon\Phi, \Phi) - \mathbf{M}_\epsilon(\Phi) . \quad (3.6)$$

Therefore, the current $\mathbf{S}_\epsilon(E(\Phi), \Phi) + \mathbf{J}_\epsilon(\Phi)$ is identically closed and thus exact [29],

$$\mathbf{S}_\epsilon(E(\Phi), \Phi) = -\mathbf{J}_\epsilon(\Phi) - d\mathbf{Q}_\epsilon(\Phi) . \quad (3.7)$$

Using the properties of the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_\xi = \xi \cdot d + d\xi \cdot$ and the on-shell relation $\mathbf{E} = 0$, we can write the variation of the $\mathbf{M}_{(\xi, \Lambda)}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\mathbf{M}_{(\xi, \Lambda)}(\Phi) &= \xi \cdot \delta\mathbf{L}(\Phi) + \Lambda d\delta\mathbf{C}_{D-2}(A) , \\ &= \xi \cdot d\Theta(\delta\Phi, \Phi) + \Lambda d\delta\mathbf{C}_{D-2}(A) , \\ &= d(-\xi \cdot \Theta(\delta\Phi, \Phi)) + \mathcal{L}_\xi \Theta(\delta\Phi, \Phi) + \Lambda d\delta\mathbf{C}_{D-2}(A) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Here, following [23], let us define $\mathbf{\Pi}_\epsilon$ through the equation

$$\delta_\epsilon \Theta(\delta\Phi, \Phi) = \mathcal{L}_\xi \Theta(\delta\Phi, \Phi) + \mathbf{\Pi}_\epsilon(\delta\Phi, \Phi) . \quad (3.9)$$

Because the action contains the Chern-Simons terms only for the gauge fields, we have $\mathbf{\Pi}_\epsilon(\delta\Phi, \Phi) = \mathbf{\Pi}_\Lambda(\delta A, A)$. We compute $\delta\delta_\epsilon \mathbf{L}$ in two ways: we take the gauge transformation δ_ϵ of (3.2) and the variation δ of (3.1) as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta\delta_\epsilon \mathbf{L}(\Phi) - \delta_\epsilon \delta \mathbf{L}(\Phi) , \\ &= d(\delta \mathbf{M}_\epsilon(\Phi) - \delta_\epsilon \mathbf{\Theta}(\delta_\epsilon \Phi, \Phi)) , \\ &= d(\Lambda d\delta \mathbf{C}_{D-2}(A) - \mathbf{\Pi}_\Lambda(\delta A, A)) , \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

at the last equality, (3.8) and (3.9) are used. Therefore, it exists a $(D-2)$ -form $\mathbf{\Sigma}_\Lambda$ such that

$$\Lambda d\delta \mathbf{C}_{D-2}(A) - \mathbf{\Pi}_\Lambda(\delta A, A) = d\mathbf{\Sigma}_\Lambda(\delta A, A) . \quad (3.11)$$

Using the equation (3.8) and (3.9), we get

$$\delta \mathbf{M}_{(\xi, \Lambda)}(\Phi) = d(-\xi \cdot \mathbf{\Theta}(\delta\Phi, \Phi) + \mathbf{\Sigma}_\Lambda(\delta A, A)) + \delta_\epsilon \mathbf{\Theta}(\delta\Phi, \Phi) . \quad (3.12)$$

On-shell, we can thus express the variation of the Noether current $\mathbf{S}_\epsilon$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \mathbf{S}_\epsilon(E(\Phi), \Phi) &= -\delta \mathbf{\Theta}(\delta_\epsilon \Phi, \Phi) + \delta \mathbf{M}_{(\xi, \Lambda)}(\Phi) - d\delta \mathbf{Q}_\epsilon(\Phi) , \\ &= \delta_\epsilon \mathbf{\Theta}(\delta\Phi, \Phi) - \delta \mathbf{\Theta}(\delta_\epsilon \Phi, \Phi) + d\mathbf{k}_\epsilon^{cov}(\delta\Phi, \Phi) , \\ &= \omega(\delta_\epsilon \Phi, \delta\Phi) + d\mathbf{k}_\epsilon^{cov}(\delta\Phi, \Phi) , \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where we have defined the surface term

$$\mathbf{k}_\epsilon^{cov}(\delta\Phi, \Phi) = -\delta \mathbf{Q}_\epsilon(\Phi) - \xi \cdot \mathbf{\Theta}(\delta\Phi, \Phi) + \mathbf{\Sigma}_\Lambda(\delta A, A) , \quad (3.14)$$

and the symplectic structure

$$\omega(\delta_\epsilon \Phi, \delta\Phi) = \delta_\epsilon \mathbf{\Theta}(\delta\Phi, \Phi) - \delta \mathbf{\Theta}(\delta_\epsilon \Phi, \Phi) , \quad (3.15)$$

which depends on ϵ only through the variation of the fields $\delta_\epsilon \Phi$. It follows from (3.13) that $\mathbf{k}_\epsilon^{cov}(\delta\Phi)$ is a conserved charge when the equations of motion $\mathbf{E}(\Phi) = 0$, the linearized equations of motion $\delta \mathbf{E}(\Phi) = 0$ and the symmetry conditions $\delta_\epsilon \Phi = 0$ are satisfied. For asymptotic symmetries, the charges are asymptotically conserved when the equations of motion $\mathbf{E}(\Phi) = 0$ and the linearized equations of motion $\delta \mathbf{E}(\Phi) = 0$ hold and if the condition

$$\omega(\delta_\epsilon \Phi, \delta\Phi)|_{\partial M} = 0 , \quad (3.16)$$

is satisfied. If the surface term is integrable,

$$\mathbf{k}_\epsilon(\delta\Phi, \Phi) = \delta \mathbf{B}_\epsilon(\Phi) , \quad (3.17)$$

the equation (3.13) can also be used to define the generator of a gauge transformation as

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\epsilon[\Phi, \bar{\Phi}] &= -\int_C \mathbf{S}_\epsilon(E(\Phi), \Phi) + \int_{\bar{\Phi}}^\Phi \int_{\partial C} \mathbf{k}_\epsilon(\delta\Phi, \Phi) + N_\epsilon[\bar{\Phi}] , \\ &= -\int_C \mathbf{S}_\epsilon(E(\Phi), \Phi) + \int_{\partial C} \mathbf{B}_\epsilon(\Phi) - \int_{\partial C} \mathbf{B}_\epsilon(\bar{\Phi}) + N_\epsilon[\bar{\Phi}] , \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

where C is a Cauchy surface and the integration $\int_{\bar{\Phi}}^{\Phi}$ is performed in the phase space of solutions between a reference solution $\bar{\Phi}$ and Φ . The boundary term $\int_{\partial C} \mathbf{B}_\epsilon(\Phi)$ makes Q_ϵ differentiable while the background term $\int_{\partial C} \mathbf{B}_\epsilon(\bar{\Phi})$ may cancel the background divergences. The term $N_\epsilon[\bar{\Phi}]$ is a normalization constant for the reference solution. Hereafter, we assume the asymptotically conserved condition (3.16) and the integrability condition (3.17).⁵

Now, we make the observation that the definitions on the last line of (3.13) are ambiguous by the redefinitions

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(\delta_\epsilon \Phi, \delta \Phi) &\rightarrow \omega(\delta_\epsilon \Phi, \delta \Phi) - d\mathcal{E}(\delta_\epsilon \Phi, \delta \Phi) , \\ \mathbf{k}_\epsilon^{cov}(\delta \Phi, \Phi) &\rightarrow \mathbf{k}_\epsilon^{cov}(\delta \Phi, \Phi) + \mathcal{E}(\delta_\epsilon \Phi, \delta \Phi) ,\end{aligned}\tag{3.19}$$

for an arbitrary $\mathcal{E}(\delta_\epsilon \Phi, \delta \Phi)$ anti-symmetric in $\delta_\epsilon \Phi$ and $\delta \Phi$. This ambiguity generalizes the well-known ambiguity in the definition of the pre-symplectic form $\Theta(\delta \Phi, \Phi) \rightarrow \Theta(\delta \Phi, \Phi) - d\mathcal{E}'(\delta \Phi, \Phi)$ which implies (3.19) with $\mathcal{E}(\delta_\epsilon \Phi, \delta \Phi) = \delta_\epsilon \mathcal{E}'(\delta \Phi, \Phi) - \delta \mathcal{E}'(\delta_\epsilon \Phi, \Phi)$. This ambiguity is not relevant for the exact symmetries where $\delta_\epsilon \Phi = 0$ but has to be fixed in the context of the asymptotic symmetries.

Following the covariant phase space method [21, 22], one could choose the surface charge $\mathbf{k}_\epsilon^{cov}(\delta \Phi, \Phi)$ which does not contain terms proportional to $\delta_\epsilon \Phi$ and its derivatives.

The proposal of [18–20] consists in fixing the surface term $\mathbf{k}_\epsilon(\delta \Phi)$ by acting on the Noether current $\mathbf{S}_\epsilon(E(\Phi), \Phi)$ with a contracting homotopy $\mathbf{I}_{\delta \Phi}$. When acting on $D - 1$ forms which contain at most second derivatives of the fields, the contracting homotopy $\mathbf{I}_{\delta \Phi}$ can be written as

$$\mathbf{I}_{\delta \Phi} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \delta \Phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \partial_\mu \Phi} + \left(\frac{2}{3} \partial_\lambda \Phi - \frac{1}{3} \Phi \partial_\lambda \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \partial_\lambda \partial_\mu \Phi} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial dx^\mu} ,\tag{3.20}$$

where the derivative with respect to dx^μ is defined by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial dx^\mu} dx^{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\alpha_p} = p \delta_\mu^{[\alpha_1} dx^{\alpha_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\alpha_p]} .\tag{3.21}$$

This procedure yields a result which depends only on the equations of motion of the Lagrangian. The surface term $\mathbf{k}_\epsilon(\delta \Phi)$ can be more easily expressed in terms of the covariant phase space expression as

$$\mathbf{k}_\epsilon(\delta \Phi, \Phi) = \mathbf{k}_\epsilon^{cov}(\delta \Phi, \Phi) + \mathcal{E}^{hom}(\delta_\epsilon \Phi, \delta \Phi) ,\tag{3.22}$$

where the supplementary term $\mathcal{E}^{hom}(\delta_\epsilon \Phi, \delta \Phi)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{E}^{hom}(\delta_\epsilon \Phi, \delta \Phi) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_\epsilon \Phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \partial_\mu \Phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial dx^\mu} \Theta(\delta \Phi, \Phi) ,\tag{3.23}$$

when $\Theta(\delta \Phi, \Phi)$ contains at most first derivatives of the fields. Here, anti-symmetrization of $\delta \Phi$ and $\delta_\epsilon \Phi$ factors is understood.

The charge $Q_\epsilon[\Phi, \bar{\Phi}]$ generates the asymptotic symmetries ϵ through the covariant Poisson brackets under assumptions about the integrability, the conservation and the finiteness

⁵At least, the integrability was shown for the Virasoro generators on the near horizon geometry of the extreme Kerr solution [1].

of the charges as well as under the condition $\int_{\partial M} \delta \mathcal{E}^{hom}(\delta \Phi, \delta \Phi) = 0$. The algebra of the asymptotic symmetries is the Poisson bracket algebra of the charges themselves,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\epsilon Q_\epsilon[\Phi] &\equiv \{Q_\epsilon[\Phi, \bar{\Phi}], Q_{\bar{\epsilon}}[\Phi, \bar{\Phi}]\}_{CB} = \int_{\partial C} \mathbf{k}_\epsilon(\delta_\epsilon \Phi, \Phi) , \\ &= Q_{[\epsilon, \bar{\epsilon}]}[\Phi, \bar{\Phi}] - N_{[\epsilon, \bar{\epsilon}]}[\bar{\Phi}] + \int_{\partial C} \mathbf{k}_\epsilon(\delta_\epsilon \bar{\Phi}, \bar{\Phi}) , \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

where the second line has been obtained from [19, 20]. The last term is recognized as the central extension term in the algebra.

4. Charges for the General Lagrangian in Four dimensions

In the previous section, we formally constructed the conserved charges for asymptotic symmetries. In this section, we will explicitly calculate the conserved charges of the general action (1.1) in four dimensions.

4.1 General action and equations of motion

The variation of the four-dimensional Lagrangian (1.1) is

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{16\pi} \sqrt{-g} \left[{}^{(g)}E^{\mu\nu} \delta g_{\mu\nu} + {}^{(A)}E_I^\nu \delta A_\nu^I + {}^{(X)}E_C \delta \chi^C \right] + \sqrt{-g} \nabla_\mu X^\mu , \quad (4.1)$$

where ${}^{(g)}E^{\mu\nu}$, ${}^{(A)}E_I^\nu$ and ${}^{(X)}E_C$ are the equations of motion given by

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(g)}E_{\mu\nu} &\equiv -G_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} f_{AB}(\chi) \partial_\rho \chi^A \partial^\rho \chi^B + \frac{1}{2} f_{AB}(\chi) \partial_\mu \chi^A \partial_\nu \chi^B \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} V(\chi) + \frac{1}{2} k_{IJ}(\chi) \left(F_{\mu\rho}^I F_{\nu}^J{}^\rho - \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma}^I F^{J\rho\sigma} \right) = 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

$${}^{(A)}E_I^\nu \equiv \nabla_\mu [k_{IJ}(\chi) F^{J\mu\nu} - \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} h_{IJ}(\chi) F_{\rho\sigma}^J] = 0 , \quad (4.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(X)}E_C &\equiv -\frac{1}{2} f_{AB,C}(\chi) \partial_\mu \chi^A \partial^\mu \chi^B + \nabla_\mu (f_{CB}(\chi) \nabla^\mu \chi^B) - V_{,C}(\chi) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} k_{IJ,C}(\chi) F_{\mu\nu}^I F^{J\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4} h_{IJ,C}(\chi) \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}^I F_{\rho\sigma}^J = 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

and the total divergence X^μ is

$$\begin{aligned} X^\mu(\Phi, \delta \Phi) &= \frac{1}{16\pi} [(\nabla_\nu h^{\nu\mu} - \nabla^\mu h) \\ &\quad + (-k_{IJ}(\chi) F^{J\mu\nu} + h_{IJ}(\chi) \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma}^J a_\nu^I - f_{AB}(\chi) \nabla^\mu \chi^B \delta \chi^A] . \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Here, we define $\Phi = (g_{\mu\nu}, A_\mu^I, \chi^A)$, $h_{\mu\nu} = \delta g_{\mu\nu}$ and $a_\mu^I = \delta A_\mu^I$. Then, the variation of 4-form Lagrangian is

$$\delta \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{E} \delta \Phi + \nabla_\mu X^\mu \epsilon = \mathbf{E} \delta \Phi + d * \mathbf{X} , \quad (4.6)$$

where $\mathbf{E} \delta \Phi \equiv \epsilon [{}^{(g)}E^{\mu\nu} \delta g_{\mu\nu} + {}^{(A)}E_I^\nu \delta A_\nu^I + {}^{(X)}E_C \delta \chi^C] / (16\pi)$ and the Hodge dual of X^μ is defined by $(*\mathbf{X})_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = X^\mu \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma}$. From (3.1) and (4.6), we can read off the Θ as

$$\Theta(\delta \Phi, \Phi) = *\mathbf{X}(\delta \Phi, \Phi) . \quad (4.7)$$

The on-shell vanishing Noether current is given by

$$S_{(\xi,\Lambda)}^\mu = \frac{1}{16\pi} \left[2^{(g)} E_\nu^\mu \xi^\nu + {}^{(A)} E_I^\mu (A_\rho^I \xi^\rho + \Lambda^I) \right] , \quad (4.8)$$

in the vector form. We can rewrite the on-shell vanishing Noether current in the 3-form as $(\mathbf{S}_{(\xi,\Lambda)})_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = S_{(\xi,\Lambda)}^\mu \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma}$ and it satisfies $d\mathbf{S}_{(\xi,\Lambda)} = \mathbf{E}\delta_{\xi,\Lambda}\Phi$.

4.2 Current for the diffeomorphism

The Noether current for the diffeomorphism ξ (3.6) is

$$\mathbf{J}_\xi(\Phi) = \boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathcal{L}_\xi\Phi, \Phi) - \xi \cdot \mathbf{L}(\Phi) . \quad (4.9)$$

Now, it is convenient to define the vector current J_ξ^μ by $(\mathbf{J}_\xi)_{\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4} \equiv J_\xi^\mu \epsilon_{\mu\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}$. Then, the J_ξ^μ is given by

$$J_\xi^\mu(\Phi) = X^\mu(\mathcal{L}_\xi\Phi, \Phi) - \xi^\mu L(\Phi) , \quad (4.10)$$

where the L is the Lagrangian which does not include $\sqrt{-g}$, that is, $\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g}L$. The Lie derivatives for $g_{\mu\nu}$, A_μ^I and χ^A are given by

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi g_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu \xi_\nu + \nabla_\nu \xi_\mu , \quad \mathcal{L}_\xi A_\mu^I = \xi^\nu F_{\nu\mu}^I + \nabla_\mu (A_\nu^I \xi^\nu) , \quad \mathcal{L}_\xi \chi^A = \xi^\mu \nabla_\mu \chi^A . \quad (4.11)$$

We can rewrite $J_\xi^\mu + S_{(\xi,0)}^\mu$ as a total divergence as

$$J_\xi^\mu(\Phi) + S_{(\xi,0)}^\mu(\Phi) = \nabla_\nu Y_\xi^{\mu\nu}(\Phi) , \quad (4.12)$$

where $Y_\xi^{\mu\nu}$ is defined by

$$Y_\xi^{\mu\nu}(\Phi) = \frac{1}{16\pi} \left[\nabla^\nu \xi^\mu - \nabla^\mu \xi^\nu + (-k_{IJ}(\chi) F^{J\mu\nu} + h_{IJ}(\chi) \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} F_{\lambda\sigma}^J) A_\rho^I \xi^\rho \right] . \quad (4.13)$$

Therefore, the $\mathbf{Q}_\xi$ defined by $\mathbf{J}_\xi + \mathbf{S}_{(\xi,0)} = -d\mathbf{Q}_\xi$ is

$$\mathbf{Q}_\xi(\Phi) = - * \mathbf{Y}_\xi(\Phi) , \quad (4.14)$$

where the Hodge dual of $Y_\xi^{\mu\nu}$ is defined by $(*\mathbf{Y}_\xi)_{\alpha\beta} = (1/2!) Y_\xi^{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$.

4.3 Current for the $U(1)^n$ -gauge transformation

In the general action (1.1), there are $U(1)^n$ -gauge symmetries and we can also construct the current of the $U(1)^n$ -gauge transformation. The vector current for the $U(1)^n$ -gauge transformation is

$$J_\Lambda^\mu(\Phi) = X^\mu(\delta_\Lambda\Phi, \Phi) , \quad (4.15)$$

where the $U(1)^n$ -gauge transformations for $g_{\mu\nu}$, A_μ^I and χ^A are

$$\delta_\Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0 , \quad \delta_\Lambda A_\mu^I = \partial_\mu \Lambda^I , \quad \delta_\Lambda \chi^A = 0 . \quad (4.16)$$

The $J_\Lambda^\mu + S_{(0,\Lambda)}^\mu$ can be written as

$$J_\Lambda^\mu(\Phi) + S_{(0,\Lambda)}^\mu(\Phi) = \nabla_\nu Y_\Lambda^{\mu\nu}(\Phi) , \quad (4.17)$$

where $Y_\Lambda^{\mu\nu}$ is defined by

$$Y_\Lambda^{\mu\nu}(\Phi) = \frac{1}{16\pi} (-k_{IJ}(\chi) F^{J\mu\nu} + h_{IJ}(\chi) \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma}^J) \Lambda^I . \quad (4.18)$$

Therefore, the $\mathbf{Q}_\Lambda$ defined by $\mathbf{J}_\Lambda + \mathbf{S}_{(0,\Lambda)} = -d\mathbf{Q}_\Lambda$ is

$$\mathbf{Q}_\Lambda(\Phi) = - * \mathbf{Y}_\Lambda(\Phi) . \quad (4.19)$$

4.4 Conserved charges

On-shell, the generator for the diffeomorphism ξ and $U(1)^n$ -gauge transformations Λ^I is given by

$$Q_{\xi,\Lambda}[\Phi, \bar{\Phi}] = \int_{\bar{\Phi}}^{\Phi} \int_{\partial C} \mathbf{k}_{\xi,\Lambda}(\delta\Phi, \Phi) + N_\epsilon[\bar{\Phi}] , \quad (4.20)$$

where $\bar{\Phi}$ is the reference solution and $\mathbf{k}_{\xi,\Lambda}$ is defined by (3.22) and can be written as

$$\mathbf{k}_{\xi,\Lambda}(\delta\Phi, \Phi) = -\delta Q_\xi(\Phi) - \delta Q_\Lambda(\Phi) - \xi \cdot \Theta(\delta\Phi, \Phi) + \mathcal{E}^{hom}(\delta_{\xi,\Lambda}\Phi, \delta\Phi) . \quad (4.21)$$

We can calculate the δQ 's by taking the variation of (4.14) and (4.19). The expression of $\mathcal{E}^{hom}$ can be obtained from (3.23) and (4.7). We summarize the result as

$$\mathbf{k}_{\xi,\Lambda}(\delta\Phi, \Phi) = \mathbf{k}_\xi^{grav} + \mathbf{k}_{\xi,\Lambda}^F + \mathbf{k}_{\xi,\Lambda}^{top} + \mathbf{k}_\xi^\chi , \quad (4.22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}_\xi^{grav} = \frac{1}{8\pi} (d^{D-2}x)_{\mu\nu} \left\{ \xi^\nu \nabla^\mu h - \xi^\nu \nabla_\sigma h^{\mu\sigma} + \xi_\sigma \nabla^\nu h^{\mu\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} h \nabla^\nu \xi^\mu - h^{\rho\nu} \nabla_\rho \xi^\mu \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} h^{\sigma\nu} (\nabla^\mu \xi_\sigma + \nabla_\sigma \xi^\mu) \right\} , \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}_{\xi,\Lambda}^F = \frac{1}{16\pi} (d^{D-2}x)_{\mu\nu} \left[\left\{ -k_{IJ,A}(\chi) F^{J\mu\nu} \delta\chi^A + 2k_{IJ}(\chi) h^{\mu\lambda} F^J{}_\lambda{}^\nu \right. \right. \\ \left. - k_{IJ}(\chi) \delta F^{J\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} h k_{IJ}(\chi) F^{J\mu\nu} \right\} (A_\rho^I \xi^\rho + \Lambda^I) \\ - k_{IJ}(\chi) F^{J\mu\nu} a_\rho^I \xi^\rho - 2\xi^\mu k_{IJ}(\chi) F^{J\nu\lambda} a_\lambda^I \\ \left. - k_{IJ}(\chi) a^{J\mu} g^{\nu\sigma} (\mathcal{L}_\xi A_\sigma^I + \partial_\sigma \Lambda^I) \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}_{\xi,\Lambda}^{top} = \frac{1}{8\pi} (d^2x)_{\mu\nu} \left[\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \{ h_{IJ,A}(\chi) F_{\lambda\sigma}^J \delta\chi^A + h_{IJ}(\chi) \delta F_{\lambda\sigma}^J \} (A_\rho^I \xi^\rho + \Lambda^I) \right. \\ \left. + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} h_{IJ}(\chi) F_{\lambda\sigma}^J a_\rho^I \xi^\rho - 2\xi^\nu h_{IJ}(\chi) \epsilon^{\mu\lambda\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma}^J a_\lambda^I \right. \\ \left. - 2h_{IJ}(\chi) \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} a_\rho^J (\mathcal{L}_\xi A_\sigma^I + \partial_\sigma \Lambda^I) \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

$$\mathbf{k}_\xi^\chi = \frac{1}{8\pi} (d^{D-2}x)_{\mu\nu} \xi^\nu f_{AB}(\chi) \nabla^\mu \chi^B \delta\chi^A . \quad (4.26)$$

Here we define $(d^{D-p}x)_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} = \frac{1}{p!(D-p)!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p \alpha_{p+1} \dots \alpha_D} dx^{\alpha_{p+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\alpha_D}$, $a_\mu^I = \delta A_\mu^I$, $\delta F_{\mu\nu}^I = \partial_\mu a_\nu - \partial_\nu a_\mu$ and $\delta F^{I\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\sigma} \delta F_{\rho\sigma}^I$. Now, we are considering the four-dimensional spacetime and substitute $D = 4$ into (4.23), (4.24) and (4.26), but all these equations except (4.25) are applicable to any $D \geq 2$ as well.

5. Central Charges for Four-dimensional Extreme Black Holes

Now, we evaluate the central charge for the four-dimensional extremal black hole. In four dimensions, the near horizon solution (2.1) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \Gamma(\theta) \left[-r^2 dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2} + \alpha(\theta) d\theta^2 \right] + \gamma(\theta) (d\phi + k r dt)^2, \\ \chi^A &= \chi^A(\theta), \quad A^I = f^I(\theta) (d\phi + k r dt). \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

We will use this solution as the reference solution $\bar{\Phi}$. First, we can check that the central extension in the algebra of two asymptotic symmetries generated by $\Lambda_1(\theta, t)$ and $\Lambda_2(\theta, t)$ is zero. Indeed, putting $\xi = 0$, $\delta\chi^A = 0$, $h_{\mu\nu} = 0$, $\Lambda = \Lambda_1(\theta, t)$ and $a_\mu = \partial_\mu \Lambda_2(\theta, t)$, we see that all expressions (4.23) to (4.26) are zero when evaluated on the sphere at infinity ∂C .

For the Virasoro generators (2.4), the algebra (3.24) becomes

$$i\{Q_m, Q_n\}_{CB} = (m-n)Q_{m+n} + i \int_{\partial C} \mathbf{k}_{\xi_m, \Lambda_m} ((\delta_{\xi_n} + \delta_{\Lambda_n})\bar{\Phi}, \bar{\Phi}) - i N_{[(\xi_m, \Lambda_m), (\xi_n, \Lambda_n)]}[\bar{\Phi}], \quad (5.2)$$

where we define $Q_m = Q_{\xi_m, \Lambda_m}[\bar{\Phi}, \bar{\Phi}]$ and ξ_m and Λ_m^I are defined under (2.4). The central charge will be read off from the second term on the right-hand side of (5.2).

Because of $(\delta_{\xi_m} + \delta_{\Lambda_m})\chi^A = 0$, there is no contribution to the central charge from $\delta\chi^A$ in (4.24), (4.25) and (4.26). Thus, the contribution from $\mathbf{k}^\chi$ is zero. The contributions from $\mathbf{k}^F$ and $\mathbf{k}^{top}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} & i \int_{\partial C} \mathbf{k}_{\xi, \Lambda}^F ((\delta_{\tilde{\xi}} + \delta_{\tilde{\Lambda}})\bar{\Phi}, \bar{\Phi}) \\ &= -\frac{ik}{16\pi} \int d\theta d\phi \sqrt{\frac{\alpha(\theta)\gamma(\theta)}{\Gamma(\theta)}} k_{IJ}(\chi(\theta)) f^J(\theta) \left[\tilde{\epsilon}'(f^I(\theta)\epsilon + \Lambda^I) - \epsilon'(f^I(\theta)\tilde{\epsilon} + \tilde{\Lambda}^I) \right], \quad (5.3) \\ & i \int_{\partial C} \mathbf{k}_{\xi, \Lambda}^{top} ((\delta_{\tilde{\xi}} + \delta_{\tilde{\Lambda}})\bar{\Phi}, \bar{\Phi}) \\ &= -\frac{i}{8\pi} \int d\theta d\phi h_{IJ}(\chi(\theta)) \left[(f^J(\theta)\epsilon + \Lambda^J)_{,\theta} (f^I(\theta)\tilde{\epsilon} + \tilde{\Lambda}^I)' \right. \\ & \quad \left. - (f^I(\theta)\tilde{\epsilon} + \tilde{\Lambda}^I)_{,\theta} (f^J(\theta)\epsilon + \Lambda^J)' \right], \quad (5.4) \end{aligned}$$

where we put $\xi = \xi[\epsilon]$ and $\tilde{\xi} = \xi[\tilde{\epsilon}]$ and define $' = \partial_\phi$. One finds that the $\mathbf{k}^F$ and $\mathbf{k}^{top}$ vanish exactly due to the relation (2.4). The remaining contribution $\mathbf{k}^{grav}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & i \int_{\partial C} \mathbf{k}_{\xi_m, \Lambda_m}^{grav} ((\delta_{\xi_n} + \delta_{\Lambda_n})\bar{\Phi}, \bar{\Phi}) \\ &= -\frac{ik}{16\pi} \int d\theta d\phi \sqrt{\frac{\alpha(\theta)\gamma(\theta)}{\Gamma(\theta)}} \left[\Gamma(\theta) (\epsilon'_m \epsilon''_n - \epsilon''_m \epsilon'_n) + \gamma(\theta) (\epsilon_m \epsilon'_n - \epsilon'_m \epsilon_n) \right] \quad (5.5) \\ &= \frac{k}{4} \delta_{m+n} \left(m^3 \int d\theta \sqrt{\Gamma(\theta)\alpha(\theta)\gamma(\theta)} + m \int d\theta \sqrt{\frac{\alpha(\theta)\gamma(\theta)^3}{\Gamma(\theta)}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We can read off the central charge from the m^3 term in (5.5) as

$$c = 3k \int_0^\pi d\theta \sqrt{\Gamma(\theta)\alpha(\theta)\gamma(\theta)} . \quad (5.6)$$

This is the same result as the one obtained in [5]. The charges $\mathbf{k}_\epsilon^{cov}(\delta\Phi, \Phi)$ defined in the covariant phase space method differ from $\mathbf{k}_\epsilon(\delta\Phi, \Phi)$ by the supplementary contribution $\mathcal{E}^{hom}$, see (3.22). However, we checked that these charges lead to the same results: the contributions from $\mathbf{k}^F, \mathbf{k}^{top}$ and $\mathbf{k}^\chi$ are zero and we can obtain the same central charge as (5.6). Therefore, the covariant phase space method [21, 22] and the cohomological method [18–20] give the same central charges.

The term linear in (5.5) can be absorbed by an appropriate choice of normalization of Q_0 . Indeed, if we choose

$$N_{(\xi_m, \Lambda_m)} = \delta_{m,0} \frac{k}{8} \int d\theta \sqrt{\frac{\alpha(\theta)\gamma(\theta)^3}{\Gamma(\theta)}} , \quad (5.7)$$

the algebra (5.2) becomes

$$i\{Q_m, Q_n\}_{CB} = (m-n)Q_{m+n} + \frac{c}{12}m^3\delta_{m+n} . \quad (5.8)$$

The temperature formula of the left handed dual CFT is conjectured in [5] from the explicit calculation for the Kerr-Newman-AdS black hole as

$$T_L = \frac{1}{2\pi k} , \quad (5.9)$$

and, using the Cardy formula $S_{CFT} = (\pi^2/3)cT_L$, we obtain the entropy of the dual CFT

$$S_{CFT} = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^\pi d\theta \sqrt{\Gamma(\theta)\alpha(\theta)\gamma(\theta)} . \quad (5.10)$$

This result agrees with the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the four-dimensional extremal black hole (2.2).

6. Extreme Black Holes in Five dimensions

In the previous section, we found that the central charges for the non-gravitational parts vanish for the fairly general extremal black holes and we reproduced the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy in four dimensions. We will show that this is also the case in five dimensions. Moreover, as far as the derivation of the charges is concerned, we will keep all formulae general for any odd dimensions $D \equiv 2N+1$. We consider the $(2N+1)$ -dimensional generalization of the action (1.1),

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d^{2N+1}x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - \frac{1}{2} f_{AB}(\chi) \partial_\mu \chi^A \partial^\mu \chi^B - V(\chi) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{4} k_{IJ}(\chi) F_{\mu\nu}^I F^{J\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{F}_I^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^I \right) , \quad (6.1)$$

where

$$\tilde{F}_I^{\mu\nu} = C_{IJK\dots L} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta\gamma\dots\rho\sigma} A_\alpha^J F_{\beta\gamma}^K \dots F_{\rho\sigma}^L . \quad (6.2)$$

In five dimensions ($N = 2$), under some assumptions described in section 2.1, the near horizon solution of the above theory (6.1) is given by (2.1) [24].

6.1 Conserved charges

The most of the calculation to obtain the expression of the conserved charges is the same as the four-dimensional case and the gravitational, the $U(1)$ and the scalar contributions can be read off directly from (4.23)-(4.24)-(4.26). Thus, what we should consider is only the contribution from the Chern-Simons term in (6.1).⁶ In the similar fashion as done in section 3 and 4, we can obtain the Chern-Simons contribution for the conserved charge as

$$\mathbf{k}_{\xi,\Lambda}^{CS}(\delta\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}) = -\delta\mathbf{Q}_{\xi,\Lambda}^{CS}(\mathbf{A}) - \xi \cdot \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{CS}(\delta\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}) + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\Lambda(\delta\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}) + \mathcal{E}^{hom}(\mathcal{L}_\xi \mathbf{A} + d\Lambda, \delta\mathbf{A}) , \quad (6.3)$$

where

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\xi,\Lambda}^{CS}(\mathbf{A}) = -\frac{N}{16\pi}(d^{D-2}x)_{\mu\nu} \left[\tilde{F}_I^{\mu\nu} (A_\rho^I \xi^\rho + \Lambda^I) \right] , \quad (6.4)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{CS}(\delta\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}) = \frac{N}{16\pi}(d^{D-1}x)_\mu \left[\tilde{F}_I^{\mu\nu} \delta A_\nu^I \right] , \quad (6.5)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\Lambda(\delta\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}) = \frac{N}{16\pi}(d^{D-2}x)_{\mu\nu} C_{IJK\dots L} \Lambda^I \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha_3\alpha_4\dots\alpha_{D-1}\alpha_D} a_{\alpha_3}^J F_{\alpha_4\alpha_5}^K \dots F_{\alpha_{D-1}\alpha_D}^L , \quad (6.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{hom}(\mathcal{L}_\xi \mathbf{A} + d\Lambda, \delta\mathbf{A}) = & -\frac{N(N-1)}{8\pi}(d^{D-2}x)_{\mu\nu} (\mathcal{L}_\xi A_\beta^I + \partial_\beta \Lambda^I) \\ & \times C_{IJK\dots L} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta\gamma\dots\rho\sigma} \delta A_\alpha^J A_\gamma^K \dots F_{\rho\sigma}^L . \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

A shorter route to find the expression for the Chern-Simons contribution consists in first writing the Noether current,

$$\mathbf{S}_\epsilon^{CS}(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{1}{16\pi}(d^{D-1}x)_\mu \frac{N+1}{2} C_{IJ\dots K} \epsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\dots\rho\sigma} F_{\alpha\beta}^J \dots F_{\rho\sigma}^K (A_\rho^I \xi^\rho + \Lambda^I) .$$

Since the current depends at most on the first derivatives of $\mathbf{A}$, only the first term in (3.20) contributes, and we get as a result

$$\mathbf{k}_{\xi,\Lambda}^{CS}(\delta\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}) = \frac{N(N+1)}{16\pi}(d^{D-2}x)_{\mu\nu} (C_{IJ\dots K} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\gamma\alpha\beta\dots\rho\sigma} \delta A_\gamma^J F_{\alpha\beta}^K \dots F_{\rho\sigma}^L) (A_\rho^I \xi^\rho + \Lambda^I) . \quad (6.8)$$

We have checked that the expressions (6.3) and (6.8) only differ by a total derivative and that the expression (6.8) is identical to the one found in [30].

⁶The conserved charges for the Chern-Simons Lagrangian has been already calculated in [30] and in [31–33] without the supplementary term $\mathcal{E}^{hom}$.

6.2 Central charge

Let us calculate the central charge for the near horizon metric of the five-dimensional extremal black holes (2.1). For each set of the boundary conditions (2.6) and (2.7), there are two asymptotic symmetries given in (2.8). For each of these sets of asymptotic symmetries, the contribution to the central term from the scalar fields is zero because of $(\delta_{\xi_i} + \delta_{\Lambda_i})\chi = 0$. The contributions from $\mathbf{k}^F$ and $\mathbf{k}^{CS}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} & i \int_{\partial C} \mathbf{k}_{\xi_{(i)}, \Lambda_{(i)}}^F ((\delta_{\tilde{\xi}_{(i)}} + \delta_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{(i)}})\bar{\Phi}, \bar{\Phi}) \\ &= -\frac{i}{16\pi} \int d\theta d\phi^1 d\phi^2 \sqrt{\frac{\alpha(\theta)\gamma(\theta)}{\Gamma(\theta)}} k_{IJ}(\chi) \sum_j k^j f_j^J(\theta) \left[\tilde{\epsilon}'(f_i^I \epsilon + \Lambda_{(i)}^I) - \epsilon'(f_i^I \tilde{\epsilon} + \tilde{\Lambda}_{(i)}^I) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & i \int_{\partial C} \mathbf{k}_{\xi_{(i)}, \Lambda_{(i)}}^{CS} ((\delta_{\tilde{\xi}_{(i)}} + \delta_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{(i)}})\bar{\Phi}, \bar{\Phi}) \\ &= -\frac{3i}{8\pi} \int d\theta d\phi^1 d\phi^2 C_{IJK} f_{j,\theta}^I \left[(f_i^J \epsilon + \Lambda_{(i)}^J)(f_i^K \tilde{\epsilon} + \tilde{\Lambda}_{(i)}^K)' - (f_i^J \epsilon + \Lambda_{(i)}^J)'(f_i^K \tilde{\epsilon} + \tilde{\Lambda}_{(i)}^K) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

where we put $\xi_{(i)} = \xi_{(i)}[\epsilon]$, $\tilde{\xi}_{(i)} = \xi_{(i)}[\tilde{\epsilon}]$, $\gamma = \det(\gamma_{ij})$, $' = d/d\phi^i$ and, in (6.10), $j \neq i$. Substituting the explicit form of $\Lambda_{(i)}^I$ in (2.8), we can find that the contributions from $\mathbf{k}^F$ and $\mathbf{k}^{CS}$ vanish exactly. The contribution from $\mathbf{k}^{grav}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & i \int_{\partial C} \mathbf{k}_{\xi_{(i)m}, \Lambda_{(i)m}}^{grav} ((\delta_{\xi_{(i)n}} + \delta_{\Lambda_{(i)n}})\bar{\Phi}, \bar{\Phi}) \\ &= -\frac{i}{16\pi} \int d\theta d\phi^1 d\phi^2 \sqrt{\frac{\alpha(\theta)\gamma(\theta)}{\Gamma(\theta)}} \left[k^i \Gamma(\theta) (\epsilon'_m \epsilon''_n - \epsilon''_m \epsilon'_n) + \sum_j k^j \gamma_{ij}(\theta) (\epsilon_m \epsilon'_n - \epsilon'_m \epsilon_n) \right], \\ &= \frac{(2\pi)^2}{8\pi} \delta_{m+n} \left[m^3 k^i \int d\theta \sqrt{\Gamma(\theta)\alpha(\theta)\gamma(\theta)} + m \int d\theta \sqrt{\frac{\alpha(\theta)\gamma(\theta)}{\Gamma(\theta)}} \sum_j k^j \gamma_{ij}(\theta) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

From the m^3 term in (6.11), the central charges are found to be

$$c_i = 6\pi k^i \int_0^\pi d\theta \sqrt{\Gamma(\theta)\alpha(\theta)\gamma(\theta)} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2. \quad (6.12)$$

Even if we put $\mathcal{E}^{hom} = 0$, we can get the same central charge. So the covariant phase space methods [21, 22] and the cohomological methods [18–20] give the same results even in five dimensions.

The temperature formulae of dual CFTs are conjectured in [7] starting from the higher-dimensional Kerr-AdS black holes as

$$T_i = \frac{1}{2\pi k^i} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2. \quad (6.13)$$

Thus, using the Cardy formula, we can obtain the entropy of the dual CFTs as

$$S_{CFT} = \frac{\pi^2}{3} c_1 T_1 = \frac{\pi^2}{3} c_2 T_2 = \pi^2 \int_0^\pi d\theta \sqrt{\Gamma(\theta)\alpha(\theta)\gamma(\theta)}. \quad (6.14)$$

The two boundary conditions (2.6) and (2.7) give the same entropy. This result coincides with the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the five-dimensional extremal black hole (2.2).

7. Conclusion

Any extremal black hole in generic $4d$ Einstein-Maxwell-scalar theory with topological terms and $5d$ Einstein-Maxwell-Chern-Simons-scalar theory has a near-horizon geometry whose asymptotic symmetries contain one (in $4d$) or two (in $5d$) centrally-extended Virasoro algebra(s). We checked that only the Einstein Lagrangian contributes to the value of the central charge, and therefore, assuming the conjectured temperature, that the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of any extremal black hole is correctly reproduced. These results support the extreme black hole/CFT duality suggested in [5].

The central charges have been computed using both cohomological and covariant phase space methods and have shown to agree. Our results are expected to hold in any dimension, because the expressions for the charges and the near-horizon metric are straightforward generalizations of the four and five-dimensional cases.

In the derivation of the central charge, we used the general action (1.1) and the near horizon extremal metric (2.1) which can be obtained from (1.1) under very mild assumptions. In particular, the result holds for any topology of the horizon except T^{D-2} . Therefore, our result is applicable to five-dimensional black holes with non-trivial topology such as the black rings [34,35] as long as the horizon is simply connected. Extremal black saturns and di-rings solutions are not known but are conjectured to exist (see e.g. [36–40]). In the case of the black holes with disconnected horizons, including the extremal black saturns and di-rings, we could apply the extreme black hole/CFT correspondence to each horizon. Then the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy would be reproduced as the sum of the entropies of dual CFTs.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to T. Azeyanagi, G. Barnich, S. de Buyl, S. Detournay, D. Marolf, T. Hartman, N. Ogawa, A. Strominger, Y. Tachikawa, T. Takayanagi and S. Terashima for valuable discussions, and especially to T. Hartman and A. Strominger for collaboration at an earlier stage. The work of KM and TN are supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 19-3715 and No. 19-3589 respectively. The work of G.C. is supported in part by the US National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY05-55669, and by funds from the University of California.

References

- [1] M. Guica, T. Hartman, W. Song and A. Strominger, *The Kerr/CFT Correspondence*, arXiv:0809.4266 [hep-th].
- [2] J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux, *Central Charges in the Canonical Realization of Asymptotic Symmetries: An Example from Three-Dimensional Gravity*, Commun. Math. Phys. **104**, 207 (1986).

- [3] H. Lu, J. Mei and C. N. Pope, *Kerr/CFT Correspondence in Diverse Dimensions*, arXiv:0811.2225 [hep-th].
- [4] T. Azeyanagi, N. Ogawa and S. Terashima, *Holographic Duals of Kaluza-Klein Black Holes*, arXiv:0811.4177 [hep-th].
- [5] T. Hartman, K. Murata, T. Nishioka and A. Strominger, *CFT Duals for Extreme Black Holes*, arXiv:0811.4393 [hep-th].
- [6] Y. Nakayama, *Emerging AdS from Extremally Rotating NS5-branes*, arXiv:0812.2234 [hep-th].
- [7] D. D. K. Chow, M. Cvetič, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, *Extremal Black Hole/CFT Correspondence in (Gauged) Supergravities*, arXiv:0812.2918 [hep-th].
- [8] H. Isono, T. S. Tai and W. Y. Wen, *Kerr/CFT correspondence and five-dimensional BMPV black holes*, arXiv:0812.4440 [hep-th].
- [9] T. Azeyanagi, N. Ogawa and S. Terashima, *The Kerr/CFT Correspondence and String Theory*, arXiv:0812.4883 [hep-th].
- [10] J. J. Peng and S. Q. Wu, *Extremal Kerr black hole/CFT correspondence in the five dimensional Gödel universe*, arXiv:0901.0311 [hep-th].
- [11] C. M. Chen and J. E. Wang, *Holographic Duals of Black Holes in Five-dimensional Minimal Supergravity*, arXiv:0901.0538 [hep-th].
- [12] S. M. Carroll, M. C. Johnson and L. Randall, “Extremal limits and black hole entropy,” arXiv:0901.0931 [hep-th].
- [13] F. Loran and H. Soltanpanahi, *5D Extremal Rotating Black Holes and CFT duals*, arXiv:0901.1595 [hep-th].
- [14] A. M. Ghezelbash, *Kerr/CFT Correspondence in Low Energy Limit of Heterotic String Theory*, arXiv:0901.1670 [hep-th].
- [15] H. Lu, J. Mei, C. N. Pope and J. Vazquez-Poritz, *Extremal Static AdS Black Hole/CFT Correspondence in Gauged Supergravities*, arXiv:0901.1677 [hep-th].
- [16] S. N. Solodukhin, *Conformal description of horizon’s states*, Phys. Lett. B **454**, 213 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9812056].
- [17] S. Carlip, *Black hole entropy from conformal field theory in any dimension*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 2828 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9812013].
- [18] G. Barnich and F. Brandt, *Covariant theory of asymptotic symmetries, conservation laws and central charges*, Nucl. Phys. **B633**, 3-82 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0111246].
- [19] G. Barnich and G. Compere, *Surface charge algebra in gauge theories and thermodynamic integrability*, J. Math. Phys. **49**, 042901 (2008) [arXiv:0708.2378 [gr-qc]].
- [20] G. Compere, *Symmetries and conservation laws in Lagrangian gauge theories with applications to the mechanics of black holes and to gravity in three dimensions*, arXiv:0708.3153 [hep-th].
- [21] R. M. Wald, *Black hole entropy is the Noether charge*, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 3427 (1993) [arXiv:gr-qc/9307038].
- [22] V. Iyer and R. M. Wald, *Some properties of Noether charge and a proposal for dynamical black hole entropy*, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 846 (1994) [arXiv:gr-qc/9403028].

- [23] Y. Tachikawa, *Black hole entropy in the presence of Chern-Simons terms*, Class. Quant. Grav. **24**, 737 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0611141].
- [24] H. K. Kunduri, J. Lucietti and H. S. Reall, *Near-horizon symmetries of extremal black holes*, Class. Quant. Grav. **24**, 4169 (2007) [arXiv:0705.4214 [hep-th]].
- [25] H. K. Kunduri and J. Lucietti, *A classification of near-horizon geometries of extremal vacuum black holes*, arXiv:0806.2051 [hep-th].
- [26] H. K. Kunduri and J. Lucietti, *Uniqueness of near-horizon geometries of rotating extremal $AdS(4)$ black holes*, arXiv:0812.1576 [hep-th].
- [27] D. Astefanesei, K. Goldstein, R. P. Jena, A. Sen and S. P. Trivedi, *Rotating attractors*, JHEP **0610**, 058 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0606244].
- [28] D. Astefanesei and H. Yavartanoo, *Stationary black holes and attractor mechanism*, Nucl. Phys. B **794**, 13 (2008) [arXiv:0706.1847 [hep-th]].
- [29] Robert. M. Wald, *On identically closed forms locally constructed from a field* J. Math. Phys. **31**, 2378 (1990).
- [30] G. Barnich and G. Compere, *Conserved charges and thermodynamics of the spinning Goedel black hole*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 031302 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0501102].
- [31] M. Rogatko, *First law of black rings thermodynamics in higher dimensional Chern-Simons gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 024008 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0611260].
- [32] N. V. Suryanarayana and M. C. Wapler, *Charges from Attractors*, Class. Quant. Grav. **24**, 5047 (2007) [arXiv:0704.0955 [hep-th]].
- [33] K. Hanaki, K. Ohashi and Y. Tachikawa, *Comments on charges and near-horizon data of black rings*, JHEP **0712**, 057 (2007) [arXiv:0704.1819 [hep-th]].
- [34] R. Emparan and H. S. Reall, *A rotating black ring in five dimensions*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 101101 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0110260].
- [35] A. A. Pomeransky and R. A. Sen'kov, *Black ring with two angular momenta*, arXiv:hep-th/0612005.
- [36] H. Elvang and P. Figueras, *Black Saturn*, JHEP **0705**, 050 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0701035].
- [37] H. Iguchi and T. Mishima, *Black di-ring and infinite nonuniqueness*, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 064018 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0701043].
- [38] J. Evslin and C. Krishnan, *The Black Di-Ring: An Inverse Scattering Construction*, arXiv:0706.1231 [hep-th].
- [39] K. Izumi, *Orthogonal black di-ring solution*, Prog. Theor. Phys. **119**, 757 (2008) [arXiv:0712.0902 [hep-th]].
- [40] H. Elvang and M. J. Rodriguez, *Bicycling Black Rings*, JHEP **0804**, 045 (2008) [arXiv:0712.2425 [hep-th]].